



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEFARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/925,638	08/09/2001	Allison J. Tanner	D-16699	4427	
75	90 08/13/2003				
Tom Beall, Esq. Corning Incorporated SP-TI-03-1		•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
			NORDMEYER	NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L	
Corning, NY 1	4831		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772		
•			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Advisory Action	09/925,638	TANNER ET AL.			
	Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	correspond nce address			
THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
<ul><li>(a)   ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li></ul>						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Attached sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Attached Sheet</u> .						
6.	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:					
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10,12 and 24-26</u> .					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8.	8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
			•			

Application/Control Number: 09/925,638

Art Unit: 1772

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Continuation of #2: Applicant has amended independent claims 1 and 8 by adding proposed new limitations "wherein said glass was subjected to a process to free silanol groups that interact with the silane monomer in said adhesive to further strengthen the bond between said adhesive and said layer; and wherein said polymeric material was subjected to a process to create reactive groups that interact with the silane monomer in said adhesive to further strengthen the bond between said adhesive and said frame" and "associated with" and added new claims 27 – 35 which requires a new search and/or further consideration.

Continuation of #5: The applicant is not placed in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments are drawn to a proposed claim amendments and new claims, which are not being entered. Thus, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims.

Specifically, the Applicant's argument drawn to the limitation of the silanol groups on the glass surface has not been entered. Therefore, the arguments are no commensurate in scope with the claims of record. Applicant's arguments of record are not found persuasive because they rely on the non-entered amendments. Applicant is referred back to the final rejection of record in Paper #6, mailed on May 23, 2003.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (703) 306-

Application/Control Number: 09/925,638

Art Unit: 1772

5480. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 7:00-4:30 & alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on (703) 308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Patricia L. Nordmeyer Examiner

Art Unit 1772

pln

August 8, 2003

HAROLD PYON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER